Sheriff's Bills of Cravings

Anna Cusack

Sheriff's bills of cravings are the annual claims for reimbursement of money that a sheriff spent during their appointment. These bills do not belong to the sheriffs of the modern imagination, from wild west films and tv shows. Instead, they belong to legal officials who were responsible for a shire. The most well-known in popular culture is perhaps the Sheriff of Nottingham from Robin Hood tales. The word sheriff is a contraction of 'shire reeve' (Old English scírgeréfa and Middle English scyrreve) and the Oxford English Dictionary traces the words to c.1034.¹ Before the Norman Conquest the sheriff represented royal authority, presiding over the shire-moot and upholding the law and administration of the royal demesne.

The role of a sheriff continued after the Conquest although many of their responsibilities changed, and they ended up less powerful than Justices of the Peace, holding roles more akin to policing. Many famous people in the early modern period had connections to sheriffs. John Evelyn noted in his diary on 3 November 1633, 'this yeare was my Father made sheriff the last (as I thinke) who served in that honorable office for Surrey & Sussex befor they were disjoyned'.² In Pepys's diary, there are accounts of him going for dinner with his friend who was the sheriff and visiting a friend who would be sheriff in the next year.³

¹ "Sheriff, n." OED Online, www.oed.com/view/Entry/178002. Accessed 1 October 2022.

² J. Evelyn, *The Diary of John Evelyn* [G. de la Bédoyère, ed.] (2004), 23.

³ All references to Sheriffs in Samuel Pepys's Diary can be searched <u>here</u>.



Louis Rhead, Bold Robin Hood and His Outlaw Band: Their, Exploits in Sherwood Forest (1912), 129. © Public Domain

Cravings are a fascinating source of information. Sheriffs often ran county gaols and as such kept records of individuals confined there. Maintaining prisoners before trials, execution expenses, and escorting prisoners to transportation and into prison hulks, all appear in the cravings. They claim amounts for carrying out whippings, and as the historian Simon Devereaux noted, 'systematic review of these records suggests much about the changing character and proportion of public, physical punishments in Hanoverian England, as the sheriffs were obliged to distinguish between the amount claimed for a 'common' whipping and that claimed for a whipping 'at the cart's tail' along a specified route'. Until 1779 £1 could be claimed for 'common' and £2 for 'cart's tail' whippings, they were thereafter tripled to £3 and £6.⁴ The costs for gibbeting individuals after their executions are also in the cravings. The average gibbet cost £16 but some cost more than £50.⁵ It was an expensive practice, and the cravings show the cost incurred from hiring the smiths to measure an individual criminal while alive, so they fit their gibbets perfectly, to the erection and transportation of a body to a gibbet site and the security needed while the act was performed.

⁴ S. Devereaux, 'The Abolition of the Burning of Women in England Reconsidered' *Crime, History & Societies*, Vol. 9, No. 2 (2005), 83.

⁵ P. King, *Punishing the Criminal Corpse, 1700-1840 Aggravated Forms of the Death Penalty in England* (2017), 101.

V	London John Me			tH.	
	o theomy of manage	erin	,	4	-
	George the 2? in the thewally one and experied for the up thereiffe of the City of Michigh of Michigh Soury 201 and	John S.	allers	Kung -	
	The ville of the City of South of Micajal Severy Del and the fleast of I michael the avelanget 134, seine	year Com	cucen	17 Rom_	
		the Hear	1 of S.	michael	
		wit.	8 1	X.	
	necessarys ab. the same fourt _ por repair and other _	-	3 7	10	
	Said for a year of the for the Court of Kings kenek as by (estificates	1	1		
	and Receipts appear Court of Kings kench as by (whificates	1. 2	0 0	0	
	Jaid Justices wages for the year move than the finies came to 264 12-0	1 150	\$ 12	10	-
	for making agreat many copyes of letter from the Agent for _ Taxes relating to the Sand Far and Suthibuting How	,			
	Tacci relating to the Sand Far and SuthiBuling them	4 5	0	0	
	The stedge for farming a later of	1		C	
	Connext to be bust at Suburn	37	17	0	
	Said bov faggetts konsthes Redes ports Blocks Chains Guards and - attendance for burning them	10	16	2	
				-	
114 11	Pais for evecting a fallow in the shand for the Excention of in tollion for mutter and for breaking up the gaven!	4 7	0	0	
	for mutter and for breaking up the paven amaking good the dame Paid for the Execution of the & Williams)			
	Said for making a Gilbett o telling up the Same on Turnam Green _	- 2	2	0	
all a la	and Hanging Williams in Chains and Taking him Town from thence by	15	17	0	
	Wher of his majedy and hanging him up upon Hounslow Heath		1		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Hor Guarde and attendance on the Execution of the laid Williams and				
	Hanging kin in Chams and alfo in taking tim Down and hanging tim . up on Hounstow Heath	4	4	0	
1 11 1	for a let of Chains for the Soid willians and plaking the Free	6	5	0	
	Said for the Special Exception of Hickor Rofe Sounders owalker Anardes				
a	nd attendance	7	7	0	
	I aid for making and putting up gibbette in Byewave Road and for _	16	10	0	
la	anging up the Said 4 perfores in Chanis thereon				-
	for 4 Suite of Chains for the P. Hickor Rofe Saunders and watter	25	0	0	
	ad platening the gillette				
	Jaid for the Special Recention of Samuel Gregory and hanging -	5	5	0	
U	in up in Clouis Gunder and alteridance	0	~		
. 0.	Plant Revent	a	5	0	
9	egory up in Chanies thereon Paid for a Suit of Chanies for the Said Samuel Gregory and pladenig_	6	5	0	-
18	efree				
	Second Street				
•					and and a
and the second sec			. <u>\$</u>		Care of

Sheriffs' cravings, 1735-1739. TNA, T 90/147. With kind permission of The National Archives.

If a craving was signed by the Chancellor, the reimbursement was secured. Sometimes claims were made by widows or children of a deceased sheriff. Sheriffs' cravings are a neglected source in many ways, only recently receiving attention from scholars. Maybe because they are stored 'in the Treasury records rather than in the court archives and had therefore been missed by most criminal justice historians'.⁶

⁶ King, *Punishing the Criminal Corpse*, 80.

The records do not systematically inform researchers on ages, occupations, nor other details of an offender but occasional glimpses are possible, and as the reimbursements were produced annually by the Exchequer of the Treasury in London, they can easily be cross referenced with Assize records.

The cravings are mostly housed at The National Archives at Kew in a subseries within E 197. Three books cover 1724-1733, and 1800-1806. The original bills for the years 1746 to 1785 are in T 64. The entry books 1733-1822 are in T 90 and for 1823 to 1959 in T 20.⁷ They are indexed by shrievalty. Up until 1849 all books were examined by the Exchequer Seal Office, and some contain the reports from this office. Local tradesmen and some newspaper advertisements have been inserted into these books for reference as well.

The 1887 Sheriff's Act can be viewed in its entirety online <u>here</u>. Today, a sheriff or high sheriff is merely a ceremonial county or city official.

Further References and Resources:

J. McGovern, The Tudor Sheriff: A Study in Early Modern Administration (2021)

Myron C. Noonkester, 'Power of the County: Sheriffs and Violence in Early Modern England' in J. P. Ward, ed., *Violence, Politics, and Gender in Early Modern England* (2008)

For more on gibbets and Sheriffs Cravings see: S. Tarlow, *The Golden and Ghoulish Age of the Gibbet in Britain* (2017) which is <u>open access</u>.

⁷ <u>Bills of Sheriff's Cravings | The National Archives</u>. Accessed 1 October 2022.